

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/709,894 | 06/04/2004 | David Ho | 12689-US-PA | 3893 |
| 31561 | 7590 09/19/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| JIANQ CHYUN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE | | | FOX, BRYAN J | |
| 7 FLOOR-1, NO. 100 ROOSEVELT ROAD, SECTION 2 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| TAIPEI, 100 | | | 2686 | |
| TAIWAN | | | DATE MAILED: 09/19/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/709,894 | HO ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Bryan J. Fox | 2686 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Ju | ne 2004. | | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| , — | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | • | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | , | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | , | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Art Unit: 2686

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 6 is objected to because of the following informalities: line 2 contains the typographical error "saidapplication." This should be changed to "said application." Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 7 is objected to because of the following informalities: line 2 contains the typographical error "saidapplication." This should be changed to "said application." Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 9 is objected to because of the following informalities: lines 1-2 contain the typographical error "communicationdevice." This should be changed to "said application." Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rogers et al (US006301484B1).

Regarding **claim 1**, Rogers et al disclose a system that allows the service provider to enable and disable wireless phone features without requiring the phone to be returned to the service provider for implementation. The service provider directs the phone to enable or disable a feature through a feature control message transmitted to

Art Unit: 2686

the phone using SMS (see column 5, lines 4-13), which reads on the claimed, "method of remotely managing a mobile communication device, comprising: providing at least a short message, including an application program; sending said application program to said mobile communication device; and running said application program on said mobile communication device," wherein the feature code reads on the application program and activating the feature code reads on running the application program.

Regarding claim 2, Rogers et al disclose that the feature code is provided in a SMS message (see column 5, lines 4-13), which reads on the claimed, "said step of providing at lease said short message includes: providing said application program; and enveloping said application program in said short message."

Regarding claim 4, Rogers et al disclose that when a phone receives any SMS message, it must check to see if it is a feature code message (see column 5, lines 14-32 and figure 1A), and if so, extract the feature code from the message and sets the feature mode (see column 6, line 46 – column 7, line 6 and figure 1B), which reads on the claimed, "said step of running said application program on said mobile communication device includes: receiving said short message; extracting said application program when said short message includes said application program; and initializing an application program interface of said mobile communication device to run said application program."

Regarding claim 5, Rogers et al disclose the use of a predetermined delimiter defined to be a string of characters that normally does not occur at the start of a message to indicate a feature control message (see column 5, lines 14-33), which reads

Art Unit: 2686

on the claimed, "said short message includes an identification index for determining whether said short message includes said application program."

Regarding **claim 7**, Rogers et al disclose a specific format for the feature control message (see column 5, line 13 – column 7, line 30), which reads on the claimed, "said application program and said application program interface comply with a predetermined program standard for said mobile communication device."

Regarding **claim 9**, Rogers et al disclose the invention is intended to be used with a wireless phone (see column 4, lines 63-67), which reads on the claimed, "said mobile communication device is a mobile phone."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 3 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim (US006810262B2).

Art Unit: 2686

Regarding claim 3, Rogers et al fail to disclose dividing the application program into a plurality of program code portions when the application has a size larger than a capacity of the short message, each portion having a size smaller or equal to the capacity of the short message.

In a similar field of endeavor, Kim discloses a system where if an SMS message exceeds the SMS message standard, the controller divides the SMS message into a plurality of segments according to the SMS message standard (see column 4, lines 15-29), which reads on the claimed, "said step of enveloping said application program in said short message includes: when said application has a size larger than a capacity of said short message, dividing said application program into a plurality of program code portions, each of said plurality of program code portions having a size smaller or equal to said capacity of said short message in order to be enveloped in said short message."

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Rogers et al with Kim to include the above segmenting of a short message in order to provide a system that accommodates messages larger than the standard.

Regarding **claim 8**, Rogers et al fails to disclose extracting portions of an application program from a plurality of messages and reassembling each portion to recover the application program.

In a similar field of endeavor, Kim discloses that if the SMS message is not a single one, the controller detects a message sequence number form the DOCUMENT TYPE, decodes the message according to its message sequence number and checks

Art Unit: 2686

whether another SMS message has been received successively until the sequence is done (see column 6, lines 31-41), which reads on the claimed, "receiving said plurality of short messages; determining whether any one of said plurality of short messages includes a portion of said application program, when a few of said plurality of short messages include portions of said application program, extracting said portions of said application program; reassembling each of said portions of said application program to recover said application program."

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Rogers et al with Kim to include the above segmenting of a short message in order to provide a system that accommodates messages larger than the standard.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roger et al in view of what was well-known in the art (see MPEP 2144.03).

Regarding **claim 6**, Rogers et al fails to expressly disclose the use of a Java Micro Edition standard.

The examiner takes official notice that Java 2 Micro Edition was well known in the art at the time of the invention.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Rogers et al to include the use of Java 2 Micro Edition in order to take advantage of the optimized environments and programming interfaces that Java allows on a limited device.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Deeds (US 20030224773A1) discloses fragmented delivery of multimedia.

Harris (US006314306B1) discloses a text message originator selected ringer.

Chmaytelli et al (US 20040198334A1) discloses server processing of interactive screens for a wireless device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bryan J. Fox whose telephone number is (571) 272-7908. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold can be reached on (571) 272-7905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CHARLES APPIAH PRIMARY EXAMINER